ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California. County of San Diego 4/10/2025 11:53:50 AM 1 2 Clerk of the Superior Court By M. Guyot ,Deputy Clerk 3 4 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 7 8 JANE DOE, et al., on behalf of themselves and) Case No.: 37-2024-00006118-CU-BC-CTL all others similarly situated, Judge: Hon. Marcella O. McLaughlin 10 Plaintiffs. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED 11 VS. MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF 12 **CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** SAN DIEGO FERTILITY CENTER MEDICAL GROUP, INC. d/b/a SAN DIEGO FERTILITY 13 CENTER, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

The Court has before it Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the motion and accompanying papers, the Court finds that the motion should be, and hereby is GRANTED. The Court finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and, therefore, meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to consideration of any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability, and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted, such that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.
- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the class representatives' service awards should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

- 5. The Court provisionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following class (the "Settlement Class"): All persons located within the United States who used Defendants' Web Properties from January 2020 through the present.¹
- 6. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 7. The Court appoints as Class Representatives, Plaintiffs Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2, B.W., B.A., and B.B.
- 8. The Court appoints as Class Counsel the law firms of Almeida Law Group; Cohen & Malad, LLP; Srourian Law Firm, P.C.; Stranch, Jennings, & Garvey, PLLC; and Strauss Borelli, PLLC.
 - 9. The Court appoints Eisner Amper as Settlement Administrator.
- 10. The Court approves, as to form and content: (1) the Class Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A and (2) the Claim, Release, Opt-Out, and Objection forms attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit B. The Court finds that the plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 11. The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

https://utahfertility.com/, https://www.ivyfertility.com/, and https://www.vafertility.com.

¹ The Web Properties include the websites, portals, billing platforms, and patient appointment webpages affiliated with Defendants, including but not limited to: https://www.sdfertility.com,

https://app.ivyfertility.com/contact-us/sdfc/scheduleconsultation, https://fertilitycentersoc.com/iui.html, https://www.reproductivepartners.com, https://pnwfertility.com/, https://www.fertilitymemphis.com/, https://www.idahofertility.com/, https://www.nvfertility.com/,

Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the 12. settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule: 13.

Settlement Administrator to Send Notice	17 days after entry of this Order.
Requests for Exclusion and Deadline to Object	60 days after notice is first sent
Deadline to file motion for final approval,	21 days before the Final Approval Hearing.
including request for attorneys' fees, expenses,	
and service awards	
Final Approval Hearing	July 18, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.
	The hearing may be continued to another date
	without further notice to the Class.

- All papers pertaining to the motion for final approval are to be filed per Code CRC 14. 3.769(e).
- The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all other 15. proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

APR 1 0 2025 MARCELLA O MOLAUS

MARCELLA O. MCLAUGHLIN